

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 Mary Martinez,
8 Plaintiff,
9 vs.
10 Internal Revenue Service, et al.,
11 Defendants.
12


Case No.: 2:14-cv-56-JAD-CWH

Order Denying Motion in Limine
[Doc. 48]

13 Pro se plaintiff Mary Martinez has filed a motion in limine (Doc. 48), asking the court to
14 enter an “order to prevent the defendants from testifying, eliciting testimony, or by any other means
15 convey specific irrelevant information to the jury.” This case is seven months old and motions to
16 dismiss and for leave to amend remain pending. Docs. 4, 40. At this nascent stage of the litigation,
17 an order limiting trial arguments would be premature. Accordingly,

18 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff’s motion in limine [Doc. 48] is DENIED without
19 prejudice to its refiling closer to trial. Plaintiff is cautioned that, before she files any future motion
20 in limine, she shall first meet and confer with opposing counsel in a good-faith attempt to reach an
21 agreement on the limiting issue she seeks to raise. Any future motion in limine in this case must be
22 accompanied by a declaration detailing the good faith efforts undertaken to resolve the issue before
23 filing the motion.

24 Dated: August 13, 2014.
25

26 
JENNIFER A. DORSEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27
28